

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,806	12/29/2000	Min Zhu	16440.4006 5229		
34313 ORRICK, HER	7590 02/06/200 RRINGTON & SUTCL	EXAMINER			
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT 4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600 IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			KANG, PAUL H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			. 2144		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Doononoo	to Bula 212 Communication	09/751,80)6	ZHU ET AL.					
Response to Rule 312 Communication		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Paul H. K	ang	2144					
Th	e MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on t	he cover sheet with the	o correspondence ad	ddress –				
1. ⊠ The amend	lment filed on <u>29 <i>January 2007</i> u</u> nder 3 ed.	7 CFR 1.312 has	been considered, and ha	as been:					
b) 🗌 enter	ed as directed to matters of form not aff	fecting the scope of	of the invention.						
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.									
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)									
and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.									
d) ⊠⊸disap	d) 🔯 disapproved. See explanation below.								
e) 🗌 enter	ed in part. See explanation below.								
The amendment filed 29 January 2007 is a duplicate of the amendment previously filed on 05 October 2006, therefore will NOT be entered into record. During a telephone interview with Mr. Kenneth S. Roberts on 05 February 2007, the examiner inquired as to the reason for the duplicate filing. The applicant stated that it was filed in response to the Interview Summary Record (PTOL-413) mailed December 29, 2006. On the PTOL-413, language exists requiring the applicant to file a statement of the substance of the interview pursuant to MPEP 713.04. The amendment of 29 January 2007 was filed in response to this requirement. However, as prosecution has been closed and since the examiner sufficiently has described the substance of the interviews, applicant is NOT required to provide any further communication in response to the previous interviews nor this communication. In order to clarify the record, the substance of the Interview of 05 October 2006 will be discussed in greater detail. In that interview, Ms. Mary L. Smith, for Kenneth S. Roberts, inquired as to the status of the amendment filed 16 February 2005 amending the title of the present application. The examiner confirmed to Ms. Smith that the amendment had been entered and the title amended to read "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR APPLICATION SHARING IN COLLABORATIVE SETTING". PAUL H. KANG PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER									
* SE= AL	SO ATTACHED P70-144	9 FILED	July 26,2004						